## CHAPTER 278

## CITY OF MUSCATINE

AN ACT to relinquish and quit-claim any claim of right, title or interest of the state of Iowa in or to certain real estate situated in the city of Muscatine, Iowa, known as Muscatine slough, to the city of Muscatine, Iowa.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Iowa:

- SECTION 1. That the state of Iowa does hereby relinquish and quit-claim any and all claim of right, title, or interest, in or to the following described real estate situated in the city of Muscatine, Muscatine county, Iowa, known as the Muscatine slough, or a portion
- thereof, to the city of Muscatine, Iowa.
- "That part of Muscatine slough bed lying within the corporate limits of Muscatine, Iowa, meandering from the Mississippi river, west through the southwest quarter  $(SW\frac{1}{4})$  of section two (2) and the south half  $(S\frac{1}{2})$  of section three (3), all in township seventy-six
- (76) north, range two (2) west of the 5th P. M. Iowa." 10
- SEC. 2. This act being deemed of immediate importance shall be in full force and effect from and after its publication in the West
- Liberty Index, a newspaper published in West Liberty, Iowa, and the Muscatine Journal & News-Tribune, a newspaper published in
- Muscatine, Iowa, without expense to the state.

House File No. 340. Approved March 27, A. D. 1929.

I hereby certify that the foregoing act was published in the West Liberty Index April 4, 1929, and the Muscatine Journal April 4, 1929.

ED. M. SMITH, Secretary of State. (One of above newspapers selected by secretary of state under section 55 of the code.)

## CHAPTER 279

## CITY OF FOREST CITY

AN ACT to authorize the governor and the secretary of state to deed and transfer to the municipal corporation of Forest City, certain land now belonging to the state. and used as a state park.

WHEREAS, there was conveyed and deeded to the state of Iowa by one, Clinton Merrick of Hancock county, a tract of land containing approximately five (5) acres located at Forest City, Iowa, and as hereinafter described for state park purposes; and

WHEREAS, there has been an arrangement between the state board of conservation and the city of Forest City, whereby the said city has been maintaining and keeping up said real property so devoted to state park purposes, and which arrangement has not proven satisfactory; and

WHEREAS, the tract of land is too small to justify or warrant the state